

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/049,212	02/05/2002	Sang-Young Lee	B-4483PCT 619789-9	8084
36716 7.	590 08/11/2004		EXAMINER	
LADAS & PARRY			CHEN, VIVIAN	
	RE BOULEVARD, SUIT S, CA 90036-5679	TE 2100	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
LOS MITOLES	, 0.11 300000073		1773	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/049,212	LEE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Vivian Chen	1773					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tte, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely  NTHS from the mailing date of this co  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 May 2004.							
,							
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-47 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) 17-28,30 and 33-36 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) 1-14,31,32 and 37-47 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) 15 and 16 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration is objected to by the Examiration is objected.	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☑ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer  3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer  application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  nts have been received in a  iority documents have been  au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)	A) 🗖 Intonio	Summany (PTO 412)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/049,212

Art Unit: 1773

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claim 29 has been cancelled by Applicant.
- 2. The indicated allowability of claims 37-40 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

# Specification

3. The objection to the amendment to the specification filed 11/6/2003 under 35 U.S.C. 132 have been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments filed 5/3/2004.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph in the previous Office Action have been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments filed 5/3/2004.
- 5. The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph in the previous Office Action have been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments filed 5/3/2004.
- 6. Claim 27 are objected to because of the following informalities. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 27 contains a typographical error regarding "iron beam".

Art Unit: 1773

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. Claims 1-14, 31-32, 37-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over VAN RIJN (US 5,753,014).

VAN RIJN discloses a multilayer membrane comprising one or more membrane layers and at least one support layer, wherein the membrane layers and the support layers have different pore sizes/distributions. The membrane layer has a typical thickness of 20 nm to 5 microns, typical pore sizes of 5 nm to 50 microns, and is composed of polymers such as polypropylene, wherein the membrane layer is removable (i.e., dissolvable) in solvents such as acetone. The support layer has a typical thickness of 10-1000 times the membrane layer(s), typical pore sizes of 5-100 times the membrane pore size, and is composed of polymers such as polypropylene, polyolefins, or polyester. The membrane layer may incorporate catalysts and other active components and is suitable for use as catalytic or reverse osmosis filtration articles. (lines 8-31, col. 2; line 63, col. 2 to line 7, col. 4; line 1-8, col. 4; line 65-68, col. 6; line 52-68, col. 12; line 39, col. 12 to line 33, col. 13)

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply an active material to a support with different porosity characteristics in order to optimize durability and reaction efficiency. One of ordinary skill in the art would have selected known polypropylene resins (claim 3) depending on the specific mechanical and chemical properties required for specific usage conditions. It would be obvious to adjust the air permeability of the composite (claim 10) and the wetting properties (claim 11) depending on type of reactants and reaction process and equipment used in order to optimize the transport, electrical, or other physical characteristics for given applications. One of ordinary skill

Application/Control Number: 10/049,212

Page 4

Art Unit: 1773

in the art would have used conventional functional layers such as adhesion-promoting polymeric tie layers (claim 13) between the membrane and support layers in order to prevent delamination. Regarding claims 37-47, the stretching steps is a product-by-process limitation and is not further limiting in as so far as the structure of the product is concerned. "[E]even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. *The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production.* If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same or or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." [emphasis added] *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). See MPEP 2113. Once a product appearing substantially identical is found, the burden shifts to applicant to show a *unobvious* difference between the claimed product and the prior art product. *In re Marosi*, 710 F.2d 798, 802, 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 5/3/2004 have been considered but are moot in view of the Applicant's amendments and the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 17-28, 30, 33-36 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,212

Art Unit: 1773

10. Claims 15-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

Page 5

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim

and any intervening claims.

11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record fails to disclose or suggest: (1) the claimed methods of forming a composite membrane, wherein an active layer is formed on the precursor film by application of a liquid polymer solution, followed by stretching (claims 17, 33); or (2) a battery separator membrane or a rechargeable lithium battery containing the recited composite membrane (claims 15-16). Specifically, LEE ET AL (US 6,540,953) fails to claim and WO 99/25464 fails to disclose the recited process, incorporating the step of forming the active layer using a liquid polymer solution; VAN RIJN fails to disclose or suggest the use of composite membranes in

batteries.

Art Unit: 1773

#### Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vivian Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1506. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau, can be reached on (571) 272-1516. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

The General Information telephone number for Technology Center 1700 is (571) 272-1700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 8, 2004

Vivian Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773